




VOSSIUS & PARTNER
Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte mbB

THE UNITARY PATENT SYSTEM

STATUS OF REFORMS




1. STATUS OF REFORMS

On December 11, 2012 the EU Parliament approved the implementation of the Unitary Patent System based on a Unitary Patent Regulation (Council Regulation (EU) No. 1257/2012 of December 17, 2012), a Translation Arrangements Regulation (Council Regulation (EU) No. 1260/2012 of December 17, 2012) and the Agreement on a Unified Patent Court signed by almost all Member States on February 19, 2013. In order to avoid blocking of implementation by Italy and Spain, in March 2011 the EU Council authorized an enhanced cooperation of 25 EU Member States in order to establish the Unitary Patent (UP) for their territories. Spain and Italy did not participate and brought an action before the CJEU against the enhanced cooperation which has been rejected by the CJEU on April 16, 2013. In addition Spain has brought revocation actions before the CJEU at the end of March 2013 against the regulations. With judgments of May 5, 2015 Spain’s actions (C-146/13 and C-147/13) have been dismissed. Italy has since joined the UPC framework in September 2015. The implementation of the court system is expected in early 2017 provided that ratification of the Agreement on a Unified Patent Court by the national parliaments of at least 13 Member States including France, Germany and the United Kingdom is completed. Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Luxembourg Malta, Portugal, Sweden, Finland and very recently Bulgaria (June 03, 2016) have already ratified. On May 25, 2016 the German government proposed a bill on the ratification to the German Bundestag; ratification is expected sometime this summer. A referendum held on June 23, 2016 will decide whether the UK will leave the EU. In case of a “BREXIT” further delay of implementation has to be expected.

2. PROSECUTION OF UNITARY PATENTS

It is foreseen to have one single application and examination procedure for UPs and traditional EPs (European Patents). The patentee can decide within one month after grant whether they would like a UP or would prefer a EP. If a corresponding request is not filed, then they may validate the EP patent in the respective designated states according to the current procedures.




* as of May 2015

The applicant can file the application under the existing EPO language regime based on the three official languages English, German or French. During a transitional period, after grant the applicant has to provide a translation of the specification into English if the language of proceedings is French or German, or – if the language of proceedings is English – into one other official language of the EU. After the transitional period – which ends once high-quality machine translation is available for all official languages of the Member States or after 12 years – no further translations shall be required for unitary patent protection.

The amount of registration and renewal fees will be in the range of the fees for four national validations in Germany, the UK, France and the Netherlands. The total total to be paid for maintaining a patent over the full 20-year term adds up to a total of EUR 35,555 .

3. COURT SYSTEM

The Unified Patent Court (UPC) will have a first instance with local divisions in the participating Member States, regional divisions for groups of Member States which do not have a local division and a central division located in Paris with branches in Munich (for mechanical engineering) and London (for chemistry, pharmaceuticals and human necessities). The local divisions in Germany will be in Düsseldorf, Mannheim, Hamburg and Munich. The second instance with a common Court of Appeal is to be located in Luxembourg. Court proceedings will be governed by the Rules of Procedure of the UPC on October 28, 2015 which have been adopted in its final form by the Administrative Committee on October 28, 2015.




4. ENFORCEMENT OF UNITARY PATENTS

The UPC will have exclusive jurisdiction in respect of infringement suits, licensing matters, requests for preliminary injunctions, declarations of non-infringement and actions or counterclaims for revocation. A suit based on the new UP can be filed in every local division where the allegedly infringing product is used or where the defendant is located. In case of EU-wide offering and distribution of allegedly infringing products, the holder of the UP can choose between the available local or regional divisions in the EU Member States. Revocation actions shall be brought before the central division. Counterclaims for nullity filed as response to an infringement suit will, however, be handled by local divisions. They can either decide on claim and counterclaim, refer the case for decision to the central division (upon agreement of the parties) or stay infringement proceedings and refer the revocation matter to the central division.

The first instance proceedings can be conducted in an official language of the respective Member State, an official language designated by that state or the language of the patent if agreed by parties and approved by the panel. The language of the patent will be used before the central division and the language of first-instance proceedings or, if the parties agree, the language of the patent, can be used in the appeal proceedings.

The infringement decisions of the UPC will have unitary effect for all countries which have ratified the respective UPC agreement. Revocation of the unitary patent results in invalidation in the entire European Union.



Enforcement Strategies

5. TRANSITIONAL PERIOD FOR EUROPEAN PATENTS (EPs)

Traditional EPs are governed by the exclusive competence of the UPC. However, within a transitional period of at least seven years (extendable for another seven years), proceedings for infringement and/or revocation concerning traditional EPs may still be initiated before the national courts. After the transitional period, EPs have to be enforced before the UPC which has exclusive competence.

Within the transitional period, applicants have the possibility to enforce their EPs either before national courts or – with effect for all designated states – before the UPC (Art. 83 (1) UPCA). Within the transitional period, applicants also have the possibility to exclude the exclusive competence of the UPC by an “opt out” declaration to be filed with the Registry of the UPC (Art. 83 (3) UPCA). Prior to the implementation of the UPC court system the “opt-out” notification can already be filed with the EPO. Upon its entry into the register the Agreement on a Unified Patent Court shall not apply to those EPs which are granted or applied for during the transitional period unless proceedings before the UPC have already commenced. Revocation and enforcement of traditional EPs for which an “opt out” motion is filed is limited to the existing system of national courts which decide with effect to the national territory. The plaintiff may confine himself to a single national assertion of such patent rights and file one action in one country or may file in parallel several separate patent infringement actions before the respective competent national courts of the states in which the European patent has been validated.

Unless an action has already been brought before a national court, proprietors of EPs shall be entitled to withdraw their “opt out” and “opt in” at any moment with the consequence that centralized actions for revocation and/or infringement can again be initiated before the UPC.

6. JUDGES AND ATTORNEYS

It is foreseen to have a multinational composition of the UPC. The local division will consist of three lawyers with the possibility of allocating a technical judge, whereas the central division comprises two lawyers and one technical judge. The Court of Appeal consists of three lawyers and two technical judges. Attorneys-at-law as well as patent attorneys with an additional qualification are entitled to act as professional representatives before the UPC. UPC judges are currently being recruited.



The patent attorneys and the attorneys-at-law of VOSSIUS & PARTNER will be admitted before all European local divisions as well as the central division in Munich, Paris and London and before the Court of Appeal in Luxembourg.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any further questions relating to the new Unitary Patent System. We will also be glad to assist you in devising the optimum strategy for prosecuting and enforcing your patent applications and patents, particularly in the upcoming transitional period.

München

Siebertstraße 3
81675 München, Germany
Tel.: +49 89 41304-0
Fax: +49 89 41304-111
info@vossiusandpartner.com

Düsseldorf

Kaiserswerther Str. 229
40474 Düsseldorf, Germany
Tel.: +49 211 210 913-315
Fax: +49 211 210 913-330
duesseldorf@vossiusandpartner.com

Berlin

Joachimsthaler Straße 34
10719 Berlin, Germany
Tel.: +49 30 340 609-501
Fax: +49 30 340 609-512
berlin@vossiusandpartner.com

Basel

Nadelberg 3
CH-4051 Basel, Switzerland
Tel.: +41 61 5601-490
Fax: +41 61 5601-488
basel@vossiusandpartner.com